

Message

From: Moore, Susan/SEA [Susan.Moore@jacobs.com]
Sent: 8/14/2018 4:52:00 PM
To: Cerise, Kathy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3ccf3f66d09943fe914be271fc992084-Hernandez, Kathryn]
Subject: RE: Wyckoff proposed plan language [Quendall 2012 RCRA waste documentation]
Attachments: 03_ EPA Response to PRP RCRA listed waste issue 03-15-12.docx; 01_ EPA Quendall_RCRA_Listed_Waste_Review_01-06-12.docx; 02_PRP Response to RCRA Listed Waste Evaluation - Quendall Terminals_02-01-12.pdf

01 is EPA's initial assessment
02 is the PRP's response
03 is EPA's final assessment, based on PRP's input

From: Cerise, Kathy [mailto:Cerise.Kathryn@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 9:40 AM
To: Moore, Susan/SEA <Susan.Moore@jacobs.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: Wyckoff proposed plan language

From: Bottcher, Helen
Sent: Tuesday, August 14, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Cerise, Kathy <Cerise.Kathryn@epa.gov>
Subject: Wyckoff proposed plan language

Hi, Kathy. Here's what we said in the Wyckoff Proposed Plan:

In developing remedial alternatives to address contamination in the beaches, EPA assumed that sediment removed from the beaches could be disposed of in a nonhazardous (Subtitle D) landfill. However, it is not known whether the material would meet all of landfill disposal criteria. To ensure the cleanup plan includes a viable disposal option, EPA also evaluated the potential for upland disposal.

Under an upland disposal scenario, sediments from the beaches would be treated with the solidification-stabilization technology along with upland surface soils, then buried beneath the final upland cap. Upland disposal would save landfill space and reduce truck traffic, but it would pose logistical challenges, because the nearshore work would need to be timed to coincide with the later stages of ISS treatment in the upland. Upland disposal would also increase the total volume of material that would need to be capped, thereby increasing the cost of the final upland cap. This modification would add approximately \$1.0 million to the overall project cost. EPA is including upland disposal of the nearshore sediments as an option to ensure that the cost estimate includes sufficient funds. However, landfill disposal in a nonhazardous waste landfill remains EPA's preferred option. The final disposal site will be selected following waste characterization testing.

Helen Bottcher | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 155 (ECL-12) | Seattle, WA 98101 | 206.553.6069 | bottcher.helen@epa.gov

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

ED_004220A_00003986-00001